## REMARKS

Claims 34, 35, 36, and 50 are allowed by the examiner. Claims 1-33, 37-49, and 51-66 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. The Office Action is discussed below.

## Withdrawal of the Rejections and Allowed Claims:

In view of the response filed on March 26, 2010, the examiner has withdrawn the enablement and obviousness rejections of claims 34-36 and 46-66. On page 1 of the Office Action, the examiner has allowed claims 34, 35, 36, and 50. Applicants thank the examiner for the withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims 34, 35, 36, and 50.

## Double Patenting and Objections to Claims:

On page 2 of the Office Action, the examiner has objected to claims 51-55 and 59-63 under 37 CFR 1.75 allegedly as being a substantial duplicate of claim 34-36 and 48-50.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the examiner has objected to claim 48 under 37 CFR 1.75 allegedly as being a substantial duplicate of claim 36.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the examiner also has objected to claims 49, 52, and 60 under 37 CFR 1.75(c), allegedly as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the examiner. However, without acquiescing in the examiner's objection and in order to advance the allowed claims 34-36 and 50 to issue, applicants cancel claims 48, 49, 51-55 and 59-63 without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants reserve the rights to pursue the subject matters of the canceled claims in one or more continuation applications.

## REQUEST

Applicants submit that claims 34, 35, 36, and 50 are in condition for issuance, and respectfully request favorable consideration to that effect. The examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 202-628-6600 should there be any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

eg. No. 33,715

July 20, 2010 Date

PERKINS COIE LLP 607 Fourteenth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005-2003

Phone: 202.628.6600 Fax: 202.434.1690 Customer No. 90612